Polar Magnetic Fields Observed During the Last Four Solar Minima

Xudong Sun, Yang Liu and J. Todd Hoeksema, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305 (xudongs@stanford.edu)

Introduction

• We study the Sun's photospherical magnetic fields of the polar regions during the last four sunspot minima, using WSO and MDI synoptic charts.

• We use the potential-field source-surface (PFSS) model to infer the corona structures, and relate the features to the polar field strength.

• We use OMNI data to study the solar wind structures at 1AU, use the PFSS model to map for their sources, and relate them to the polar field strength.

• We propose a new polar field interpolation scheme which makes use of wellobserved polar field data to estimate the missing polar data in MDI synoptic charts. The method improves the result of solar wind speed and IMF polarity prediction from the Wang-Sheeley-Arge (WSA) model, especially for minima...

Polar Field Observation

• The Sun's polar fields in the current minimum is the weakest in the past four minima, being about 30% weaker than the previous one. Its sunspot number is also the lowest.

• The Sun's dipole field strength is well-correlated with the polar field strength.

• Observed global weak-field flux during this minimum is also weaker.

• Modeled heliospheric current sheet warps more during this minimum; polar coronal hole shrinks; more large mid-low latitude coronal holes emerge.

• MDI and WSO polar fields correlate well with each other except for the early stage of cycle 23 where a small offset exists...







Corona Structure and Polar Field

• Observation (EIT) approves the modeled coronal structure: more warped HCS, shrunk polar CH and larger mid-low latitude CH.



CR2069 2.0 B_{dipol}

> Fig5 Modeled coronal structure of CR2069 with different dipole field. Top: flux-tube expansions (correlated with solar wind speed); Bottom: open field foot points, current sheet and the sources of solar wind. Left: doubled dipole field; middle: original input; right: halved dipole field.

• Polar field strength proves to be crucial to the global corona structure.



Solar Wind and Polar Field

• Solar wind stream structure is well correlated to corona open field structure, which is in turn closely related to the dipole (polar) field.

• Minimum 21-22 has abundant high speed streams that come from large polar coronal hole extensions, which are caused by a "tilted dipole".

• Minimum 22-23 has very few high speed streams. The dipole field is strong for a long time, which oppresses the polar CH extensions and low latitude CHs.

• Minimum 23-24 has many long-lived high speed streams. The dipole field is weak, so many large mid-low latitude appear as the fast wind source.



Fig6 Top: solar wind speed structure of three minima from OMNI; Bottom: modeled open field foot points as solar wind sources.

MDI: Polar Field Interpolation

• The Sun's polar field is not well observed due to its tilted rotation axis; the edge of each magnetogram is discarded due to high noise. Thus, there will be missing data at polar region of synoptic maps.

• The WSA model for solar wind and IMF polarity prediction is sensitive to polar field strength.

• We propose a new polar field interpolation scheme that makes use of favorably oriented synoptic maps to estimate the missing data.



Fig8 Polar view of MDI synoptic maps before and after interpolation.

Improvement of Solar Wind Modeling

• We use MDI synoptic maps with/without polar field interpolation to predict 3day-advance solar wind speed and IMF polarity. Results are evaluated statistically against OMNI data.

• New polar field interpolation improves the accuracy in every statistical category. The improvement is the most significant during sunspot minima, some times can be as high as 30% in terms of MSE in speed.



Fig9 Example of 3-day-advance solar wind speed (top) and IMF polarity (bottom) prediction, using MDI synoptic maps and WSA model with polar field interpolation.

4 hr avg.	RMSE (km/s)	AFD (%)	CC	P(IMF) (%)
With Interp.	99.6	16.0	0.451	80.9
No Interp.	102.9	16.8	0.401	79.6

 Table1 Statistical evaluation of solar wind prediction for 1996-2008 against

OMNI, using MDI synoptic maps with/without polar field interpolation.



RMSE: root-square of prediction's mean-square-error. AFD: average fractional deviation of speed prediction. **CC:** correlation coefficient between predicted and observed speed series. **P(IMF):** accuracy of IMF polarity prediction Skill Score: (MSE1 – MSE2) / MSE2.

Fig10 Skill score (improvement) of speed prediction.

Conclusion

• Using WSO and MDI synoptic maps, we find that the Sun's polar field during this minimum is about 30% weaker than the previous, and is the weakest amongst the last four. Sun spot number, dipole field, observed weak field flux and IMF strength are all relatively weaker compared to the previous ones.

• From both coronal hole observation and modeling (WSO+PFSS), we find some distinctive corona structures of this minimum: more warped current sheet, smaller polar coronal holes and a greater number of large mid-low latitude coronal holes. They can be related to a weaker polar field.

• From OMNI we find some distinctive solar wind stream structures. Minimum 22-23 has much fewer long-lived high-speed streams. This can be explained by the absence of large polar coronal hole extensions (min 21-22) or large mid-low latitude coronal holes (min 23-24). They can also be related to the polar fields.

• We propose a new polar field interpolation scheme for the MDI synoptic maps to fill in the missing data due to the Sun's tilt angle. It makes use of wellobserved poles during Mar/Sep. The interpolation proves to be important during minima, improving the solar wind speed prediction as much as 30%.

References

- Wang, Y.-M. & Sheeley Jr., N. R., 1990, ApJ, 355, 726.
- Arge, C. N. & Pizzo, V. J., 2000, JGR, 105, 10465. • Arge, C. N. et al., 2000, JASTP, 66, 1295.
- Schatten, K. H., 1971, Cosmic Eletrodynamics, 2, 232.
- Hoeksema, J. T., 1984, PhD Thesis.
- Richardson, I. G. & Cane, H. V., 1995, JGR, 100, 23297.
- King, J. H. & Papitashvili, N. E, 2005, JGR, 110, 2104.
- Liu, Y. et al., 2004, Sol. Phys., 219, 39.
- Scherrer, P. H. et al., 1995, Sol. Phys., 162, 129. • Svalgaard, L. et al., 1978, Sol. Phys., 58, 225.
- Lee, C. O. et al., 2008, submitted.
- Liu, Y. et al., 2007, AAS 210, #24.05.
- Sun, X. and Hoeksema, J. T., 2007, AAS 210, #30.04.